

Minutes of Regular Meeting December 3, 2025

3:30 p.m. Call to order by Mr. Mack

Roll Call: Mr. Britten, Mr. Schaller, Mr. Mack, Mr. Eckel, Ms. Welch

Mr. Mack – Motion to enter Executive Session to consider the appointment, employment, and compensation of a public employee or official. Mr. Britten for approval. Mr. Schaller. All yes. Motion approved.

4:00 p.m.: Mr. Mack – Re-enter regular meeting. Mr. Britten moved for approval. Mr. Schaller seconded. All yes. Motion approved.

Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Mack asked about any changes or additions to the agenda:

Ms. Welch – resolution to cancel the 2 mil police levy

Chief Gazarek – hiring and promotion process

Mr. Schaller moved for approval. Mr. Britten seconded. All yes. Motion approved.

Approval of meeting minutes:

November 5, 2025

Mr. Britten moved for approval. Mr. Schaller seconded. All yes. Motion approved.

Special Presentation

Maintenance:

I don't have anything today, unless you have anything for me.

Mr. Mack – a good job on the roads.

Fire/EMS:

No report unless you have anything for me.

Police:

I would like to ask for permission to hire one patrol officer, start the search for one patrol officer, and hopefully after the beginning of the year, have a candidate that we can bring to you then, get them started. We have about 14 weeks of training with a new officer. So quite time consuming to get them prepared so they'll be prepared for summer, and then we'll come back to you. and talk about possibly a second officer. **Mr. Britten moved for approval to start the process. Mr. Schaller seconded. All yes. Motion approved.**

I would also ask for permission to promote a non-union employee, one of the lieutenants, to the position of deputy chief, which we've not had for approximately 6-7 months. **Mr. Schaller moved for approval. Mr. Britten seconded. All yes. Motion approved.**

Recreation & Recycling:

We did get a request from Goodwill to see if the trustees will allow Goodwill to put a bin out here to collect clothes, and whatever else they collect. We have two bins out there for clothes right now. So, it's up to the trustees what they prefer and not prefer.

Mr. Mack: I think the one that's there currently; we get compensated to some extent.

Mr. Eckel: That's correct.

Mr. Mack: I guess the question is, do we need multiple bins?

Mr. Eckel: Bob, did you get any indication whether this was just a holiday event type of thing that they wanted to do or whether it was permanent?

Mr. Warnimont: permanent.

Mr. Mack: Ma'am, do you have a question?

Unknown: Yeah, the current one, is it under total private entrepreneurship?

Mr. Eckel: Yes.

Unknown: So, whatever that person gets, he sells for whatever, period.

Mr. Eckel: They distribute it, yes. I can't tell you entirely that I understand the process entirely, but yes, we do get compensated for allowing them to put that here.

Unknown: They distribute it.

Mr. Eckel: Yes.

Unknown: And whatever they distribute. They sell; it is my understanding.

Mr. Eckel: I believe you're correct. I believe that it's certainly something reasonable that I do not know what they charge or anything of that nature. We do get modest payment. It is not substantial.

Mr. Schaller: Bob, I think my opinion with goodwill is that every time we put a bin here and try to help somebody out, be nice, we've got our electronics bins, we've got our recycling, we've got the clothes ones. It always comes back to something negative. People are piling up TVs and computers out here on the grass. I would say with Goodwill just being up the road a mile or two, they can take it there and drop it off. Those people are very helpful. When you take something, they're very cooperative.

Mr. Warnimont: That's why I brought it up to the board, because the administrator and I weren't going to make this decision to put one out here or deny one out here. But I agree with you, Mr. Schaller, that you have got to babysit them to make sure they are doing what they're supposed to be doing.

Mr. Britten: Yeah, how many times we've paid for signs, and people still don't read it. We've got one sitting right out here in the middle of the parking lot, screws up our parking spaces. And yeah, I totally agree with Joe. Yeah, granted, they're in the township, Goodwill, but they're a mile and a half up the road. And if the people want to take something up there, they'll unload your car for you. You don't even have to get out.

Mr. Warnimont: They did say they would monitor it and make sure it was cleaned up; make sure nothing was left on the outside. They don't care what the property looks like when they leave. So, we can send her an e-mail.

Mr. Eckel: I'll e-mail them back, Bob.

Next Tuesday there is a park meeting, so we should know at the next meeting if we got the park grant and what we asked for.

Mr. Mack: Actually, since we're on the topic of parks and recreation, I did get a letter or I should say the trustees got a letter from the Wood County Park District stating that a survey was sent to people in the Perrysburg and Perrysburg Township community, and it said Wood County community leaders would like to hear from you regarding important matters in your community. Some of you may receive this. The Wood County Park District sent out a note to say that this was not something that they did or that they

endorsed. It was the Toledo Lucas County Metro Parks sending out a survey about parks in Perrysburg. So, they were a little bit, maybe miffed is the right word. And so, I have a copy of the letter from the Board of Commissioners of the Wood County Park District if anybody is interested in it.

Zoning:

We have a proposed resolution number 2025-056, authorizing the removal, repair, or securances of insecure, unsafe, and/or structurally defective buildings at 12502. Harold Street, Perrysburg Township, Wood County, Ohio. Attached as exhibits are the zoning inspection report, the alleged violation complaint form filed by the citizen, the fire chief's report declaring the property or structure insecure, unsafe, or structurally unsound, and photographic evidence of these structures. And when I say structures, I'm plural; this also includes the utility shed that is behind this mobile home. It's been unoccupied for many years. A little short background on it, two years ago, I approached the owner of this trailer. They own 4 parcels. They have two mobile homes on these four parcels. One of the mobile homes is the one we're talking about tonight. The other one is not in violation. It is in violation of trash. stuff of that nature, but not as far as a defective building. The previous owner said he didn't want to deal with it. He was going to sell it. He sold it. Apparently, it's his grandson that now owns it. Nothing's been done this year as far as taking care of it. The neighbors have been mowing the front, according to them. But the structure has been in a much worse condition over the last 12 months. Apparently, I don't know if any of you have viewed it, but it looks like a large tree fell on the structure itself. The danger part of it is that the roof is metal and metal sheeting. It's twisted and it's pulling away from the main structure. My worry is that in a good wind, these could come off and injure somebody seriously. The fire chief also looked inside, and the flooring was missing. The neighbors are pretty upset about the structure, and they have been for a while. What happened last meeting that I was at, was that the lady that lived across the street, her St. Bernard, was attacked by a raccoon that came from that structure. When I was taking photographs, cats were going in and out of the open windows on both sides, and there's some animals in the shed. I didn't go back and look in there. But I'm asking for adoption of this resolution, which would put this gentleman on notice. He will be sent a certified letter tonight. He would have 20 days to respond to the Perrysburg Township fiscal officer in writing, either taking care of the situation himself or asking for a hearing before you. He has the right to have a hearing, which will be scheduled within 15 days of his written request. not sooner than seven days, according to Ohio law. If no request for a hearing is made, we still would have an informal hearing where we issue another order and a resolution to proceed with demolition. So, I'm asking for adoption of resolution number 2025-056. **Mr. Britten moved for approval. Mr. Schaller seconded. All yes. Motion approved.**

Administrator:

I would like approval for Resolution 2025-057 to renew our membership in the Employee Assistance Program. We have been part of that for several years, long before I showed up on the scene. But you give the folks in the area some idea of what we get from EAP. They can help with workplace conflicts, bullying, harassment, work-life balance things, substance abuse for our employees and their families. Personal and family issues of various sorts. They help with mental health issues for our employees and their families, health, and caregiving issues. They will give legal and family advice, financial counseling, and grief assistance. So, I think, Chief Brice, you can speak to it that they've been a wonderful program, and they've helped many of our employees and we do use it. So, I would ask that we renew our relationship with EAP. The total is \$3,640.00. **Mr. Schaller moved for approval. Mr. Britten seconded. All yes. Motion approved.**

Fiscal Officer:

I have a resolution 2025-058, to cancel collection of the police 2 mill levy. During the levy time period, there was legislation in the works that replacement levies were going away. So, our intention was to have the new 4.9 levy be a replacement levy, but since we couldn't call it a replacement levy, we made it a new levy. We conveyed that we had canceled 2 mil levy on the successful passage of the 4-9. So, this

resolution is to cancel the collection in 2026 of that 2-mil levy. **Mr. Britten moved for approval. Mr. Schaller seconded. All yes. Motion approved.**

Mr. Mack: Essentially, we're honoring our pledge that we made to the voters that equates to about \$915,000.

Trustees:

Mr. Mack: I highlighted the letter from the Park District. I also got kind of late word, there's a public visioning open house at Wood County Courthouse from 5:00 to 7:00 this evening. I'm sorry, it's at the junior fair building at the fairgrounds, and it's put on by the Wood County Planning Commission. and it's part of the Wood County Comprehensive Land Use Plan, which is something that impacts the whole county. Obviously, we're engaged right now at Perrysburg Township with a comprehensive plan, and we've got another meeting coming up this month with our consultant who moderates that forum.

Public Comments:

Rich Jarosz, 28806 White Road. I'm here to speak for a lot of the people on White Road, a lot of us are retirees, and a lot of us are dog walkers. Our concern is the speed that is 35. Traffic has been exceeding 35. I live right in the middle of White Road, right at Carolina and White, and I've been there for 31 years. And I know the traffic speed. And since we bumped Ford Road to 45 mile an hour, traffic seems to be doing that down the White Road. And a lot of us enjoy WW Knight down there. There are no sidewalks on White Road other than just a little portion. A lot of us walk the road, both ways. What can we do to try to slow the traffic down? In talking to the walkers, I told them I would ask. The City of Perrysburg has that digital sign when you go into Front Street, and I think there's one on South Boundary. What would it take to get something like that? I don't know what it would take if that's a grant or how that falls, but would we be able to come up with something to put that somewhere on White in both directions just to slow people down? Because when they come off at 795, you can hear them pick the speed up. You come the other way; they come over the railroad tracks, and here they come. It doesn't matter if the buses are running, they're there. Then suddenly you see the brake lights come on, or people coming off Carolina that are rolling the stop sign, and traffic's just flying up and down the road. So, I was just here to speak to see what can be done, to see what we can do to slow the traffic down.

Chief Gazarek: We've researched the cost of the signs. previous. I don't know which ones you're talking about. They're on what WS Boundary, near the school. I think they're great. It's cost, right? So, Rossford has one that is movable, so they can post it in a different place. I'll talk to them, see if we can borrow one. They've offered it in the past that we can get up there immediately, relatively immediately. The city and Rossford both have offered their services when it comes to the trailers. So, I'll get with them and we'll try and get one up there soon. We have, like I said, in the past we looked at purchasing our own so that we can move it about. And in the meantime, until we get one of those up there, depending on whether or not they're using it, we'll have officers step up the patrols for White Road, but anytime an officer sits there, everybody obeys that traffic laws.

Mr. Jarosz: Well, and if you put that counter down, those rubber tubes across the road, the counters; people know that as soon as they run over it.

Chief Gazarek: The signs are the trailers, and the signs record the time, the speed, and car count. So, it'll give us a good idea going forward.

Mr. Jarosz: Something to pass on to the walkers and everybody on the White Road.

Chief Gazarek: I assume if it's early in the morning or later in the evening, everybody's wearing a reflective vest.

Mr. Jarosz: Actually, I do. I have plenty of greens with day stripes. I would say 85% of the traffic, I walk against traffic so I can look you in the eyes, and they'll move over. But then you can see the ones that

are eyeballing you, and they're not going to move over and there's no worries. other than somebody's yard or toward the ditch. I've had some communications with people, but anymore I back down because I don't know what people are carrying or who's doing what these days. So, you just move on.

Chief Gazarek: We'd be glad to help we'll get some officers up there and try and, is there any specific time more than others?

Mr. Jarosz: It's in the morning and you know, not picking on the independent contractors with pickup trucks, but a lot of times between that 11 and 1 o'clock, they are up and down the road pretty quickly. And then, you know, it's rush hour, everybody coming home after work, you know, kids, you got stuff going. People just go, and they think they're on Ford Road 45 miles an hour. I want to bring it to people's attention.

Mr. Britten: I think when Chief Hetrick was here, we looked at those. They were like 8 or 9 grand.

Chief Gazarek: I researched them. You wouldn't think the ones that go like the street sign size were that much, but the fact that they are small and movable and they go no bigger than a street sign, they cost more. So, the trailers at the time when I researched it were, I think, around \$7,500. And then the signs were, I think you are correct, around 10, 11, right?

Mr. Mack: Can they fit in there right of way, or do we need to get to a place where we can put them?

Chief Gazarek: As far as the trailer is concerned, yeah, I think it would be fine.

Mr. Mack: Are you volunteering your yard?

Mr. Jarosz: Well, the speed limits are across from my property. It's on the other side. They're attached right to that speed limit. I think that one on the Front Street by 577 It's attached and speed limit sign too, with a little radar for the solar panel, and it can be movable. You're not calling to put a stake or something in the ground; you're just using a sign that's there. Thirty-five is right across from the driveway.

Mr. Mack: The one that we borrowed from Rossford is actually on a little trailer.

Chief Gazarek: We did that on Carronade. It was a big complaint of the speeders and so we had that trailer out there and I would probably be more apt to agree with them. They probably are moving fast or a straight road. They appear to be going fast, they probably are. Curves are a different story.

Mr. Schaller: I've heard the same complaint there on Simmons Road, just north of 795.

Mr. Jarosz: Ford Road's the same way. You have to be careful walking because it's 45. Okay, we're all guilty of going five or six over the speed limit. It's sort of hard to walk Ford Road because of the same thing, no sidewalks, traffic's at 45-50 passing you, and there's nowhere to go when you've got to bail real quick.

Leslie Sherman, 9535 Fremont Pike. I own property there. I've already talked to Jon Eckel already and everyone else, except maybe the trustees. I have some information that has been given to me, I don't have an NDA sign, so I know of some possibility of a data center going in, in the area. So, I have concerns about it being that close to my property and all of ours and that it's not a good fit for the area. It has dangerous effects on the environment, humans, animals, and it's going to decrease our home values. The technology is quickly changing, and it will be outdated within, you know, 10, 15, 20 years. It'll be gone. I don't agree with tax abatements. So, I really would like to have a moratorium that is brought to your attention and signed or brought to the trustees to consider a temporary abatement, you know, a temporary thing on it, to stop all that data center is happening nearby. Because I also know that

Thompson Road access roads are going in now. Langenderfer just told me about that. It's going to start in March. So now that's happening. I had no idea about it.

Mr. Britten: Well, that'll only go up to the auto auction.

Ms. Sherman: where we own, yes, right where the Sherman's own.

Mr. Britten: No, it won't go through the auto auction; it'll stop on the west side of the auto auction.

Ms. Sherman: Okay, well, that's not what they told me. They said they were going to the light.

Mr. Britten: Well, eventually we want to get there, but right now, it's only going to cover the Mister Storage property. The thing is, ODOT's not going to give any road cuts down there. They want the one where the light is. They want the one where the roundabout is in front of the Amazon. So, they're going to be service roads down there.

Ms. Sherman: Because we're in the commercial overlay district.

Mr. Britten: Your property is still zoned agricultural, correct?

Ms. Sherman: Yes, it is. But right next to us is not. Thank you.

John Monk. I live right down the street at 25324 Lime City Road. We are here because we heard the conjecture about data centers online as well. Outside of everything that everyone's already heard about people complaining about data centers, I personally think for us specifically here in the Crossroads region, it's a complete waste of space to give an industrial or commercial property to a business that doesn't produce anything and doesn't ship out anything. With us being so close to the turnpike and I-75, we can get to 80% of the country in one day's travel, and I think that should be the selling point for us bringing in new businesses, not bringing a business that just sucks up electricity and makes money for billionaires.

Caitlin Carey. I'm also here to talk about the data center. I appreciate those points; I hadn't thought of that. I live at 1947 Ottawa Lane. I'm new to this community, and it's really been so kind to me. My concerns about the data centers, and I'm just quoting a study that I found published by the University of Michigan. They say in this that data centers do not benefit communities because though there's an initial increase in jobs to build the centers, they don't bring in high-paying jobs because they operate as infrastructure projects rather than traditional job-creating businesses. So, construction can create many jobs, but those are short-lived. Once the centers are built, they require relatively few employees since the facilities primarily house computers and servers. So, the jobs won't stay here. And other people have said they just consume a lot of electricity. And my biggest concern is their consumption of water. Our water prices are so high here already, and I'm wondering what will happen once there's a larger scarcity of water in our community. And that's all I really want to say here. So, thank you for listening.

Cindy Lane, 29408, Belmont Lake Road. I have a list from the people in the Monclova-Waterville Township area of reasons why the data centers don't belong in our communities. And here is the list that they had, lowered property values, significant increases in our water and electricity bills, air pollution from fine particulate matter that causes or exacerbates cardiac conditions, asthma, and other upper respiratory illnesses. Reduced electrical appliance lifespans due to harmonic fluctuations to the normally smooth electrical wave power to our homes, usually noted within a 50-mile radius. Potential for repeated power outages, brownouts, and blackouts. Noise pollution, usually mid and high frequency broadband, but continuous low frequency hum has been proven to cause health effects up to two plus mile radius. Heat pollution, raising temperatures in nearby areas, water pollution, if wastewater from cooling is not properly treated, can contaminate water sources, potential electromagnetic radiation to surrounding areas, and increased traffic to and from industrial complex.

Steve Cox, 27811 Glenwood Road. I also have considerable concerns related to data centers. I'm going to supply a number of documents to the trustees for their review. And within those, which supporting what has already been said, is the fact that the tax exemptions actually can have some shirt-tail effects relative to not only the initial typically 15-year abatements, but in addition to that, if you really look into some of the information I've gotten here, it carries on beyond the 15 years at the point at which the equipment and other materials utilized by the data center, as it either becomes outdated or upgrades are made necessary because of the functionality of it, those replacements also can be given tax abatements relative to replacement costs in the state. So, on the tax side, it's not as big a benefit locally or statewide. The other issues, as other ones have already said, the electrical draw, for instance, the typical mid-sized data center in mid-Ohio is about a 50-kW megawatt unit. The one building here in Bowling Green was initially designed or referred to as a 98. Now they're already looking for expansion, which is part of this discussion and what's down there for fairgrounds, that they want to expand it up to 180 to 200 to 250 megawatts. So, the total draw on that, it's not just the power that's utilized coming in, but it's the amount of power that has to be generated somewhere within Ohio to support that. The amount of power that's being supplied is more and more limited in Ohio because there's no new generating facilities. So, then it gets into a bidding war and everybody's bidding against everybody else, and that's why, I mean, locally ours have gone up a few percent recently. The national average is supposedly 6 or 7 percent. Mid-Ohio is near 13% in the last two years. So that's on the electrical side. On the cooling side, for every megawatt, typically a data general center for each one of those megawatts requires 18,000 gallons of water per day. So, the unit down in Bowling Green is looking at right now in and around about 108 million gallons per day, and they want to double it. So, you look at the amount of volume and water usage and what that will do to affect where I live. I've got a well, but for anyone who's relying on the water district, They're going to be having issues with it. That's about all I've got to say right now. Thank you.

Jeff Moore, 24290 Sun Air Boulevard. I read that article. It's an excellent article. I think it's mandatory reading. The University of Michigan did a wonderful study highlighting all the effects of data centers, talking about the potential deleterious effects of the environment, the water, the energy, the heavy metals, and what it does to your water basin as well. They talked about the benefits, the, well, you know, you kind of alluded to, you know, the waning benefits that you can see with the data centers as well. So, I'm happy to share that article, but I think it should be mandatory reading for everyone regardless. So, thanks.

Mr. Mack entertained the motion to adjourn. Mr. Schaller moved for approval. Mr. Britten. All yes. Motion approved.

Meeting adjourned at 16:37

Gretchen Welch – Fiscal Officer

Robert Mack -Chairman